

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,530	03/30/2004	Marcus Marchesi Martins	TI-35630	3298
23494 7	590 08/23/2005	EXAMINER		NER
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			NGUYEN, KHANH V	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817	2817

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/812,530	MARTINS, MARCUS MARCHESI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	<u>larch 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	,			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 14 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2817

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sauer (6,433,637).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 10, 16, 19, Sauer (Figs. 1-4) discloses a rail-to-rail input/output operational amplifier comprising: inputs (INp, INn) for receiving data signals; transistors (MN10, MN11, MP20, MP21) can be read as a first circuit; and transistors (MP100-MP102, MN100-MN102 and MP103 and MN103) can be read as a second circuit, wherein first and second circuits together operable for providing class AB output (OUTAB) to drive a load, wherein transistors (MP103 and MN103) are configured as source/sink current.

Regarding claims 2, 11 wherein first and second circuits operable as current mirror.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauer.

Regarding claims 3, 6, 12, 15 the difference between claim 3 and Sauer is the specific ratio of the current mirror. However, the exact ratio used would be a result effective variable set by component values, current mirror transistors etc. and cannot of itself be considered patentable distinct from Sauer.

Regarding claims 4, 13, 20, the difference between claim 4 and Sauer is the specified voltages. However, the specified voltages used would be a result effective variable set by input voltages, input signal level etc. and cannot of itself be considered patentable distinct from Sauer.

Regarding claims 8, 17, Sauer discloses the claimed invention except D/A converter and reference signal coupled to the input. However, in any practical use of Sauer device the inputs (INp, INn) would be connected to a further circuit which could be read as the claimed D/A converter and reference signal. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the circuit of Sauer in combination with a D/A converter and reference signal such that the signal input to the circuit is an analog signal.

Regarding claims 9, 18, Sauer discloses the claimed invention except the circuit is an integrated circuit on a semiconductor chip. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrated all components on a semiconductor chip because it is simpler and does not require a cumbersome circuit.

Art Unit: 2817

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5, 14 call for, among others, first and second circuit operable with different supply rails.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional reference (Ivanov et al. (6,317,000)) shows further analogous prior art circuitry having Class AB.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2817

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANH VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Khaullendguger

Page 5

**Art Unit: 2817**